

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5725		
10/750,565	12/31/2003	Peter W. Gaudet	0100.1361-026			
21005	7590 10/05/2006		EXAMINER			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			JIANG, CH	JIANG, CHEN WEN		
P.O. BOX 9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			3744	3744		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/750,56	5	GAUDET ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Chen-Wen		3744			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for real and the period for real and the period for real and the period by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF TH ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve mmunication. In statutory period will apply and will ply will, by statute, cause the applies after the mailing date of this con	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time spire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s)</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.</li> </ol>	2b) This action is no on for allowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-11,15 and 16</u> is/are object to resemble at the subject to resemble at the	lare withdrawn from cor e rejected. ected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a  Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the control of	re: a) accepted or b) [  pjection to the drawing(s) b  ing the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060703.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/750,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3,8-10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grant et al. (EP 0233784).

Grant et al. disclose pressure gauge for monitoring pump pressure. The gauges includes Pirani or thermocouple gauges. The control unit conventionally incorporates protection circuits, which prevent the gauge from being switched on if the dangerous condition such as temperature and pressure (col. 1). Applicant's remarks on pages 3-4 of the response are not part of the rejection relied on. Under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *Ir re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/750,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 4,11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grant et al. (EP 0233784) in view of Bachler et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,757,689).

Grant et al. disclose pressure gauge for monitoring pump pressure. The gauges includes Pirani or thermocouple gauges. The control unit conventionally incorporates protection circuits, which prevent the gauge from being switched on if the dangerous condition such as temperature and pressure. The teaching of gauge is prevented from being turned on when a potentially dangerous condition is disclosed in Grant. Bachler et al. disclose the pressure gauge is used on cryopump and the using on different pump is intended use and is not patentable.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-7 and 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3744

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner